DPS-19

October 20, 2005

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

C.A. No. **05-3827**

HARRY L. BIERLEY

v.

JOANNE B. BARNHART COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY

(W.D. Pa. Civ. No. 05-cv-00007)

Present: ROTH, FUENTES AND VAN ANTWERPEN, CIRCUIT JUDGES

Submitted are:

- By the Clerk for possible dismissal due to a jurisdictional defect; and (1)
- Appellant's response thereto, which also contains a request for the (2) appointment of counsel

in the above-captioned case.

Respectfully,

Clerk

MMW/JIB/as/clw

ORDER

The appeal is dismissed for lack of appellate jurisdiction because it is taken from an order that is not appealable at this time. Subject to limited exceptions, this Court has jurisdiction over appeals from final decisions of the District Court. See 28 U.S.C. § 1291. Final decisions are those that end the litigation on the merits, leaving nothing for the District Court to do but execute the judgment. See Midland Asphalt Corp. v. United States, 489 U.S. 794, 798 (1989). The order must end the litigation as to all claims and all parties in order to be final. See Andrews v. United States, 373 U.S. 334, 339 (1963).

HARRY L. BIERLEY

v.

JOANNE B. BARNHART COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY

(W.D. Pa. Civ. No. 05-cv-00007)

C.A. No. 05-3827

Page Two

The July 27, 2005, order is not immediately appealable because it merely denied the Appellant's motion for a stay in the proceedings and his request that the District Court vacate its June 28, 2005, and July 12, 2005, orders. The Appellant's motion for summary judgment remains pending in the District Court, as does the summary judgment motion filed by Commissioner Barnhart. In addition, the July 27, 2005, order does not qualify as an appealable collateral order under this Court's narrow interpretation of the doctrine articulated in Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541 (1949). See Martin v. Brown, 63 F.3d 1252, 1258 (3d Cir. 1995); see also Adapt of Philadelphia v. Philadelphia Hous. Auth., 417 F.3d 390, 395 (3d Cir. 2005); Delta Traffic Serv., Inc. v. Occidental Chemical Corp., 846 F.2d 911 (3d Cir. 1988). Appellant's motion for the appointment of counsel is thus denied as moot.



Marcia M. Waldron, Clerk

Dated: December 12, 2005 CLW/cc: Mr. Harry Bierley Ann Von Scheven, Esq. By the Court,

/s/ Julio M. Fuentes
Circuit Judge